Three-weight codes and the quintic construction

Yan Liu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei, Anhui Province 230601, P.R. China

Minjia Shi[*a,1], Patrick Solé*[b]

[a]*Key Laboratory of Intelligent Computing & Signal Processing, Ministry of Education, Anhui University No. 3 Feixi Road, Hefei Anhui Province 230039, P. R. China, National Mobile Communications Research Laboratory, Southeast University and School of Mathematical Sciences of Anhui University, Anhui, 230601, P. R. China*

[b]*CNRS/LAGA, University Paris 8, 93 526 Saint-Denis, France*

arXiv:1612.00126v1 [cs.IT] 1 Dec 2016

Abstract

We construct a class of three-Lee-weight and two infinite families of five-Lee-weight codes over the ring $R = \mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + v^2\mathbb{F}_2 + v^3\mathbb{F}_2 + v^4\mathbb{F}_2$, where $v^5 = 1$. The same ring occurs in the quintic construction of binary quasi-cyclic codes. They have the algebraic structure of abelian codes. Their Lee weight distribution is computed by using character sums. Given a linear Gray map, we obtain three families of binary abelian codes with few weights. In particular, we obtain a class of three-weight codes which are optimal. Finally, an application to secret sharing schemes is given.

Keywords: Three-weight codes; Quintic construction; Character sums; Griesmer bound; Secret sharing schemes

2010 MSC: 94B25, 05 E30

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_p$ denote the finite field with p elements. An $[n, k, d]$ linear code C over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is an k-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ with minimum Hamming distance d. An $[n, k, d]$ linear code is called optimal if no $[n, k, d+1]$ code exists. A classical construction of codes over finite fields called **trace codes** is documented in [3, 5, 11, 14]. Many known codes [7, 9, 15, 16, 17] can be produced by this construction.

In a series of papers [11, 12, 13, 14], we have extended the notion of trace codes from fields to rings as follows. If R is a finite ring, and R_m an extension of R of degree m, R_m^* denotes the group of units of R_m, we construct a trace code with a defining set $\mathcal{L} = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_{n'}\} \subseteq R_m^*$ by the formula

$$C_{\mathcal{L}} = \{(Tr_m(xd_1), Tr_m(xd_2), \ldots, Tr_m(xd_{n'})) : x \in R_m\} = \{(Tr_m(xd))_{d\in\mathcal{L}} : x \in R_m\},$$

where $Tr_m()$ is a linear function from R_m down to R. By varying $\mathcal{L}$ and R, various codes can be constructed. We can summarize this research program as shown below.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: liuyan2612@126.com (Yan Liu), smjwcl.good@163.com (Minjia Shi*), sole@enst.fr (Patrick Solé)

[1]The author is supported by NNSF of China (61672036), Technology Foundation for Selected Overseas Chinese Scholar, Ministry of Personnel of China (05015133), the Open Research Fund of National Mobile Communications Research Laboratory, Southeast University (2015D11) and Key projects of support program for outstanding young talents in Colleges and Universities (gxyqZD2016008).

[11] $\mathcal{L} = R_m^*$, $R = \mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$;

[12] $L = R_m^*$, $R = \mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$;

[14] $[R_m^* : \mathcal{L}] = 2$, $R = \mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p$;

[13] $\mathcal{L} = D + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, $[R_m^* : \mathcal{L}] = (p-1)gcd(N, \frac{p^m-1}{p-1})$, $R = \mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p$.

Note that all rings in the above list are chain rings, except the second one. In the present paper, we will consider another semi-local ring $\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + v^2\mathbb{F}_2 + v^3\mathbb{F}_2 + v^4\mathbb{F}_2$, where $v^5 = 1$. This ring occurs in the quintic construction of binary quasi-cyclic codes [2]. While the binary codes we describe are not obtained by that construction, they are still quasi-cyclic of co-index 5. By the generic method of our research program, we obtain a family of optimal binary codes by using a linear Gray map. Furthermore, an application to secret sharing schemes is sketched out.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The next section describes the basic notations and defines a Gray map, which will be needed in Section 4. Section 3 shows that the codes are abelian. Section 4 gives the main results in this paper, the Lee weight distribution of our codes. Furthermore, we show that the Gray images of three-Lee-weight codes are optimal. Section 5 determines the minimum Lee distance of their dual codes. The codes we constructed have applications in secret sharing schemes in section 6. We will sum up all we have done throughout this paper in section 7, and make some conjectures for future research.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Rings

Throughout this paper, we let $\mathbb{F}_2$ be a finite field with two elements, i.e., $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$. Denote by R the commutative ring $\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + v^2\mathbb{F}_2 + v^3\mathbb{F}_2 + v^4\mathbb{F}_2$, constructed via $v^5 = 1$. R is a ring of size 2^5 with characteristic 2. Because the factorization of $v^5 - 1$ into irreducible factors is $(v-1)(1+v+v^2+v^3+v^4)$, the ring R has two maximal ideals, namely, $(1+v) = \{(1+v)(a_0 + a_1v + a_2v^2 + a_3v^3 + a_4v^4) : a_i \in \mathbb{F}_2, i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $(1+v+v^2+v^3+v^4) = \{0, 1+v+v^2+v^3+v^4\}$. Thus it is a non-local, non-chain principal ideal ring. Given a positive integer m, we can construct the ring extension $R_m = \mathbb{F}_{2^m} + v\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + v^2\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + v^3\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + v^4\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$. Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_{16}$ be a root of the irreducible polynomial $1+v+v^2+v^3+v^4$ in $\mathbb{F}_2$, then by a simple calculation, we get the factorization of $v^5 - 1$ as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} v^5 - 1 &= (1+v)(1+v+v^2+v^3+v^4) && \text{in } \mathbb{F}_2, \\ &= (1+v)(1+\omega^2 v+v^2)(1+\omega v+v^2) && \text{in } \mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \omega, \omega^2\}, \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{4}(v+\epsilon^i) && \text{in } \mathbb{F}_{16} = \{\nu_0 + \nu_1\epsilon + \nu_2\epsilon^2 + \nu_3\epsilon^3 : \nu_i \in \mathbb{F}_2, i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using Chinese Remainder Theorem, the ring R_m is seen to be isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{16^m}$ when m is odd, and $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{4^m} \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{4^m}$ when m is singly-even, and $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$

when m is doubly-even. Here R_m^* denotes the group of units in R_m, and $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ denotes the multiplicative cyclic group of nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$. Likewise, we have $R_m^* \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{16^m}^*$ when m is odd, and $R_m^* \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{4^m}^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{4^m}^*$ when m is singly-even, and $R_m^* \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ when m is doubly-even.

Let $tr_m()$ be the trace function from $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$, namely, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, tr_m(\ell) = \ell + \ell^2 + \cdots + \ell^{2^{m-1}}$. Similar to the definition of $tr_m()$, we define the *Trace function,* denoted by $Tr_m()$, over R_m.

Definition 2.1 For any $a = a_0 + a_1 v + a_2 v^2 + a_3 v^3 + a_4 v^4 \in R_m$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, the *trace* $Tr_m(a)$ of a over R is defined by

$$Tr_m(a_0 + a_1 v + a_2 v^2 + a_3 v^3 + a_4 v^4) = tr_m(a_0) + tr_m(a_1)v + tr_m(a_2)v^2 + tr_m(a_3)v^3 + tr_m(a_4)v^4.$$

It is well known that the trace function $tr_m()$ is a linear transformation from $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ onto $\mathbb{F}_2$. So it is immediate to check that R-linearity of $Tr_m()$ follows from the $\mathbb{F}_2$-linearity of $tr_m()$.

2.2. Codes and Gray map

A **linear code** C over R of length n is an R-submodule of R^n. The elements of a such code are called its **codewords**. For $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n), y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) \in R^n$, their standard inner product is defined by $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$, where the operation is performed in R. The **dual code** $C^\perp$ of a linear code C, over R, consists of all vectors of R^n which are orthogonal to every codeword in C, that is, $C^\perp = \{y \in R^n | \langle x, y \rangle = 0, \forall x \in C\}$.

We define the following linear Gray map which takes a linear code over R of length n to a binary linear code of length $5n$.

Definition 2.2 The Gray map Φ from R to $\mathbb{F}_2^5$ is defined as

$$\Phi(a_0 + a_1 v + a_2 v^2 + a_3 v^3 + a_4 v^4) = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4),$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_2, i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.

This map Φ can be extended to R^n in an obvious way. Define the Lee weight of an element $a_0 + a_1 v + a_2 v^2 + a_3 v^3 + a_4 v^4$ of R as $w_L(a_0 + a_1 v + a_2 v^2 + a_3 v^3 + a_4 v^4) = w_H(\Phi(a_0 + a_1 v + a_2 v^2 + a_3 v^3 + a_4 v^4)) = w_H((a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)) = \sum_{i=0}^4 w_H(a_i)$, where w_H denotes the usual Hamming weight. Then Φ is a distance preserving isometry from $(R^n, d_{L'})$ to $(\mathbb{F}_2^{5n}, d_H)$, where $d_{L'}$ and d_H denote the Lee and Hamming distance in R^n and $\mathbb{F}_2^{5n}$, respectively. What is more, if C is a linear code over R with parameters $(n, 2^k, d)$, then $\Phi(C)$ is a linear code over $\mathbb{F}_2$ with parameters $[5n, k, d]$. It is immediate that linear codes of length n over R are mapped into n-quasi-cyclic binary codes of length $5n$.

Given a finite abelian group G, a code over R is said to be **abelian** if it is an ideal of the group ring $R[G]$. Recall that the ring $R[G]$ is defined on functions from G to R with pointwise addition as addition, and convolution product as multiplication. Concretely it is the set of all formal sums $f = \sum_{h \in G} f_h X^h$, where X an undeterminate, with addition and multiplication defined as follows. If f, g are in $R[G]$, we

write

$$f + g = \sum_{g \in G} f_h + g_h X^h,$$

and

$$fg = \sum_{h \in G} (\sum_{r+s=h} f_r g_s) X^h.$$

In other words, the coordinates of C are indexed by elements of G, and G acts regularly on this set. In the special case when G is cyclic, the code is a cyclic code in the usual sense [10].

3. Symmetry

First, for $a \in R_m$ define the vector $ev(a)$ by the evaluation map $ev(a) = (Tr_m(ax))_{x \in R_m^*}$. Define the code $\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L)$ of length L by the formula $\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L) = \{ev(a) : a \in R_m\}$. Thus $\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L)$ is a linear code over R and $\Phi(\mathcal{C}(2, m, L))$ is a linear binary code of length $5L$.

Proposition 3.1 If $L = |R_m^*|$, then the group R_m^* acts regularly on the coordinates of $\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L)$.

Proof. For any $w, v \in L$ the change of variables $x \mapsto (v/w)x$ maps w to v. This transformation defines thus a transitive action of L on itself. Given an ordered pair (w, v) this transformation is unique, hence the action is regular. □

The code $\mathcal{C}(m, 2, |R_m^*|)$ is thus an *abelian code* with respect to the group R_m^*. In other words, it is an ideal of the group ring $R[R_m^*]$. As observed in the previous section R_m^* is a not cyclic group, hence $\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L)$ may be not cyclic.

4. The Lee Weight of $\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L)$

For convenience, we let $s = 5|R_m^*|$. If $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_s) \in \mathbb{F}_2^s$, let

$$\theta(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} (-1)^{y_j}.$$

For simplicity, we let $\Theta(a) = \theta(\Phi(ev(a)))$. In order to determine the Lee weight of the codewords of $\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L)$, we first recall the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1 [14] For all $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_s) \in \mathbb{F}_2^s$, we have

$$2w_H(y) = s - \sum_{j=1}^{s} (-1)^{y_j}.$$

Lemma 4.2 [10] If $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$, then

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{tr_m(zx)} = 0.$$

According to Lemma 4.1, for $ev(a) \in \mathcal{C}(m,2,L)$, by definition of the Gray map, we have

$$2w_L(ev(a)) = 2w_H(\Phi(ev(a))) = s - \Theta(a). \tag{1}$$

4.1. The first family of codes $\mathcal{C}(m,2,L_1)$ when m is odd

In the previous section, we know $R_m^* \cong \mathbb{F}_2^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{16^m}^*$ when m is odd. A simple calculation shows that

$$R_m^* = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{4} x_i v^i : \sum_{i=0}^{4} x_i \neq 0, (x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4, x_0+x_1, x_3+x_4, x_0+x_1+x_2+x_3) \neq (0,0,0,0) \right\}.$$

For convenience, we adopt the following notations unless otherwise stated in this section. Set $I = \sum_{i=0}^{4} x_i, I_1 = x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4, I_2 = x_0+x_1, I_3 = x_3+x_4, I_4 = x_0+x_1+x_2+x_3$. Then $R_m^* = \{\sum_{i=0}^{4} x_i v^i : I \neq 0, (I_1,I_2,I_3,I_4) \neq (0,0,0,0), I, I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}$.

We are now ready to discuss the Lee weight of the codewords of the abelian codes introduced above.

Theorem 4.3 Let m be odd, then the set $\mathcal{C}(m,2,L_1)$ is a three-Lee-weight linear code of length $L_1 = (2^m-1)(2^{4m}-1)$ and its weight distribution is given in Table I.

Table I. weight distribution of $\mathcal{C}(m,2,L_1)$

Weight	Frequency
0	1
$5 \times (2^{5m-1} - 2^{4m-1} - 2^{m-1})$	$(2^m-1)(2^{4m}-1)$
$5 \times (2^{5m-1} - 2^{4m-1})$	$2^{4m}-1$
$5 \times (2^{5m-1} - 2^{m-1})$	2^m-1

Proof. Set $x = x_0 + x_1 v + x_2 v^2 + x_3 v^3 + x_4 v^4 \in R_m^*$, then $I \neq 0, (I_1,I_2,I_3,I_4) \neq (0,0,0,0)$. For $a = a_0 + a_1 v + a_2 v^2 + a_3 v^3 + a_4 v^4 \in R_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ax &= [(a_0+a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)I + (a_0+a_4)I_1 + (a_3+a_4)I_2 + (a_2+a_3)I_3 + (a_1+a_2)I_4] \\ &\quad +[(a_0+a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)I + (a_0+a_1)I_1 + (a_0+a_4)I_2 + (a_3+a_4)I_3 + (a_2+a_3)I_4]v \\ &\quad +[(a_0+a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)I + (a_1+a_2)I_1 + (a_0+a_1)I_2 + (a_0+a_4)I_3 + (a_3+a_4)I_4]v^2 \\ &\quad +[(a_0+a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)I + (a_2+a_3)I_1 + (a_1+a_2)I_2 + (a_0+a_1)I_3 + (a_0+a_4)I_4]v^3 \\ &\quad +[(a_0+a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)I + (a_3+a_4)I_1 + (a_2+a_3)I_2 + (a_1+a_2)I_3 + (a_0+a_1)I_4]v^4 \\ &=: A_0 + A_1 v + A_2 v^2 + A_3 v^3 + A_4 v^4. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\Phi(Tr_m(ax)) = (tr_m(A_0), tr_m(A_1), tr_m(A_2), tr_m(A_3), tr_m(A_4)).$$

Taking character sums, yields

$$\Theta(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} \sum_{I \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*} \sum_{(I_1,I_2,I_3,I_4) \neq (0,0,0,0), I_1,I_2,I_3,I_4 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{tr_m(A_i)}.$$

If $a = a_0+a_1v+a_2v^2+a_3v^3+a_4v^4 \in R_m^*$, then $\sum_{i=0}^4 a_i \neq 0$ and $(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4, a_0+a_1, a_3+a_4, a_0+a_1+a_2+a_3) \neq (0,0,0,0)$, and $\Theta(a) = 5$. By Equation (1), we get $w_L(ev(a)) = 5\times(2^{5m-1}-2^{4m-1}-2^{m-1})$.

Note that $\sum_{i=0}^4 a_i = 0$ and $(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4, a_0 + a_1, a_3 + a_4, a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3) = (0,0,0,0)$, i.e., $a = 0$, corresponds to the zero codewords, and then its Lee weight is 0.

If $\sum_{i=0}^4 a_i = 0$ and $(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4, a_0 + a_1, a_3 + a_4, a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \neq (0,0,0,0)$, then $a = a_0 + a_1v + a_2v^2 + a_3v^3 + a_4v^4$ is a zero-divisor, and $\Theta(a) = -5 \times (2^m - 1)$. By Equation (1), we get $w_L(ev(a)) = 5 \times (2^{5m-1} - 2^{4m-1})$.

If $a = a_0+a_1v+a_2v^2+a_3v^3+a_4v^4$ satisfies $\sum_{i=0}^4 a_i \neq 0$ and $(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4, a_0+a_1, a_3+a_4, a_0+a_1+a_2+a_3) = (0,0,0,0)$, then we can claim $a = \alpha(1+v+v^2+v^3+v^4)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. By calculation, we know

$$\Theta(a) \;=\; 5 \sum_{I\in\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*} \sum_{(I_1,I_2,I_3,I_4)\neq(0,0,0,0),I_1,I_2,I_3,I_4\in\mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{tr_m(\alpha I)} = -5 \times (2^{4m} - 1).$$

Hence we get $w_L(ev(a)) = 5 \times (2^{5m-1} - 2^{m-1})$ by Equation (1). □

According to Theorem 4.3, we have constructed a binary linear code of length $s = 5\times(2^m-1)(2^{4m}-1)$, of dimension $5m$, with three nonzero weights $w_1 < w_2 < w_3$ of values

$$w_1 = 5 \times (2^{5m-1} - 2^{4m-1} - 2^{m-1}), \quad w_2 = 5 \times (2^{5m-1} - 2^{4m-1}), \quad w_3 = 5 \times (2^{5m-1} - 2^{m-1}),$$

with respective frequencies

$$f_1 = (2^m - 1)(2^{4m} - 1), \quad f_2 = 2^{4m} - 1, \quad f_3 = 2^m - 1.$$

4.2. The second family of codes $\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L_2)$ when m is singly-even

We now consider m is singly-even, which implies $R_m^* \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{4^m}^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{4^m}^*$. A simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} R_m^* \;=\; \Bigg\{ &\sum_{i=0}^4 h_i v^i : \sum_{i=0}^4 h_i \neq 0, (\omega^2h_1 + \omega h_2 + \omega h_3 + \omega^2 h_4, \omega^2 h_0 + \omega h_1 + \omega h_2 + \omega^2 h_4) \neq (0,0), \\ &(\omega h_1 + \omega^2 h_2 + \omega^2 h_3 + \omega h_4, \omega h_0 + \omega^2 h_1 + \omega^2 h_2 + \omega h_4) \neq (0,0) \Bigg\}. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we adopt the following notations unless otherwise stated in this section. Set $H = \sum_{i=0}^4 h_i, H_1 = \omega^2h_1 + \omega h_2 + \omega h_3 + \omega^2 h_4, H_2 = \omega^2 h_0 + \omega h_1 + \omega h_2 + \omega^2 h_4, H_3 = \omega h_1 + \omega^2 h_2 + \omega^2 h_3 + \omega h_4, H_4 = \omega h_0 + \omega^2 h_1 + \omega^2 h_2 + \omega h_4$. Then $R_m^* = \{\sum_{i=0}^4 h_i v^i : H \neq 0, (H_1, H_2) \neq (0,0), (H_3, H_4) \neq (0,0), H, H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}$.

We are now ready to discuss the Lee weight of the codewords of the abelian codes introduced above.

Theorem 4.4 Let m be singly-even, then the set $\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L_2)$ is a five-Lee-weight linear code of length $L_2 = (2^m - 1)(2^{2m} - 1)^2$ and its weight distribution is given in Table II.

Table II. weight distribution of $\mathcal{C}(m,2,L_2)$

Weight	Frequency
0	1
$5\times(2^{2m}-1)(2^{3m-1}-2^{2m-1}-2^{m-1})$	$2\times(2^m-1)(2^{2m}-1)$
$5\times(2^m-1)(2^{2m-1}-1)2^{2m}$	$(2^{2m}-1)^2$
$\frac{5\times[(2^m-1)(2^{2m}-1)^2+1]}{2}$	$(2^m-1)(2^{2m}-1)^2$
$5\times(2^m-1)(2^{2m}-1)2^{2m-1}$	$2\times(2^{2m}-1)$
$5\times(2^{2m}-1)^2 2^{m-1}$	2^m-1

Proof. Set $h=h_0+h_1v+h_2v^2+h_3v^3+h_4v^4\in R_m^*$, then $H\neq 0,(H_1,H_2)\neq(0,0),(H_3,H_4)\neq(0,0)$. For $b=b_0+b_1v+b_2v^2+b_3v^3+b_4v^4\in R_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
bh &= [(b_0+b_1+b_2+b_3+b_4)H+(b_0+b_2+\omega^2b_3+\omega^2b_4)H_1+(b_1+b_4+\omega^2b_2+\omega^2b_3)H_2\\
&\quad+(b_0+b_2+\omega b_3+\omega b_4)H_3+(b_1+b_4+\omega b_2+\omega b_3)H_4]\\
&\quad+[(b_0+b_1+b_2+b_3+b_4)H+(b_1+b_3+\omega^2b_0+\omega^2b_4)H_1+(b_0+b_2+\omega^2b_3+\omega^2b_4)H_2\\
&\quad+(b_1+b_3+\omega b_0+\omega b_4)H_3+(b_0+b_2+\omega b_3+\omega b_4)H_4]v\\
&\quad+[(b_0+b_1+b_2+b_3+b_4)H+(b_2+b_4+\omega^2b_0+\omega^2b_1)H_1+(b_1+b_3+\omega^2b_0+\omega^2b_4)H_2\\
&\quad+(b_2+b_4+\omega b_0+\omega b_1)H_3+(b_1+b_3+\omega b_0+\omega b_4)H_4]v^2\\
&\quad+[(b_0+b_1+b_2+b_3+b_4)H+(b_0+b_3+\omega^2b_1+\omega^2b_2)H_1+(b_2+b_4+\omega^2b_0+\omega^2b_1)H_2\\
&\quad+(b_0+b_3+\omega b_1+\omega b_2)H_3+(b_2+b_4+\omega b_0+\omega b_1)H_4]v^3\\
&\quad+[(b_0+b_1+b_2+b_3+b_4)H+(b_1+b_4+\omega^2b_2+\omega^2b_3)H_1+(b_0+b_3+\omega^2b_1+\omega^2b_2)H_2\\
&\quad+(b_1+b_4+\omega b_2+\omega b_3)H_3+(b_0+b_3+\omega b_1+\omega b_2)H_4]v^4\\
&=: B_0+B_1v+B_2v^2+B_3v^3+B_4v^4.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\Phi(Tr_m(bh))=(tr_m(B_0),tr_m(B_1),tr_m(B_2),tr_m(B_3),tr_m(B_4)).$$

Taking character sums, yields

$$\Theta(b) = \sum_{i=0}^{4}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*}\sum_{(H_1,H_2)\neq(0,0),H_1,H_2\in\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}\sum_{(H_3,H_4)\neq(0,0),H_3,H_4\in\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(-1)^{tr_m(B_i)}.$$

1) If $b=b_0+b_1v+b_2v^2+b_3v^3+b_4v^4\in R_m^*$, then $\sum_{i=0}^4 b_i\neq 0$ and $(\omega^2b_1+\omega b_2+\omega b_3+\omega^2b_4,\omega^2b_0+\omega b_1+\omega b_2+\omega^2b_4)\neq(0,0),(\omega b_1+\omega^2b_2+\omega^2b_3+\omega b_4,\omega b_0+\omega^2b_1+\omega^2b_2+\omega b_4)\neq(0,0)$. What is more, we obtain $\Theta(b)=-5$. By Equation (1), we get

$$w_L(ev(b))=\frac{5\times[(2^m-1)(2^{2m}-1)^2+1]}{2}.$$

2) If $\sum_{i=0}^4 b_i=0$ and $(\omega^2b_1+\omega b_2+\omega b_3+\omega^2b_4,\omega^2b_0+\omega b_1+\omega b_2+\omega^2b_4)\neq(0,0),(\omega b_1+\omega^2b_2+\omega^2b_3+\omega b_4,\omega b_0+\omega^2b_1+\omega^2b_2+\omega b_4)\neq(0,0)$, then $b=b_0+b_1v+b_2v^2+b_3v^3+b_4v^4$ is a zero-divisor.

Furthermore, $\Theta(b) = 5 \times (2^m - 1)$. By Equation (1), we get

$$w_L(ev(b)) = 5 \times (2^m - 1)(2^{2m-1} - 1)2^{2m}.$$

3) If $\sum_{i=0}^{4} b_i \neq 0$ and $(\omega^2 b_1 + \omega b_2 + \omega b_3 + \omega^2 b_4, \omega^2 b_0 + \omega b_1 + \omega b_2 + \omega^2 b_4) = (0,0), (\omega b_1 + \omega^2 b_2 + \omega^2 b_3 + \omega b_4, \omega b_0 + \omega^2 b_1 + \omega^2 b_2 + \omega b_4) \neq (0,0)$, then $\Theta(b) = 5 \times (2^{2m} - 1)$.

When $\sum_{i=0}^{4} b_i \neq 0$ and $(\omega^2 b_1 + \omega b_2 + \omega b_3 + \omega^2 b_4, \omega^2 b_0 + \omega b_1 + \omega b_2 + \omega^2 b_4) \neq (0,0), (\omega b_1 + \omega^2 b_2 + \omega^2 b_3 + \omega b_4, \omega b_0 + \omega^2 b_1 + \omega^2 b_2 + \omega b_4) = (0,0)$, then $\Theta(b) = 5 \times (2^{2m} - 1)$. By Equation (1), we get

$$w_L(ev(b)) = 5 \times (2^{2m} - 1)(2^{3m-1} - 2^{2m-1} - 2^{m-1}).$$

4) If $\sum_{i=0}^{4} b_i = 0$ and $(\omega^2 b_1 + \omega b_2 + \omega b_3 + \omega^2 b_4, \omega^2 b_0 + \omega b_1 + \omega b_2 + \omega^2 b_4) = (0,0), (\omega b_1 + \omega^2 b_2 + \omega^2 b_3 + \omega b_4, \omega b_0 + \omega^2 b_1 + \omega^2 b_2 + \omega b_4) \neq (0,0)$, then $\Theta(b) = -5 \times (2^m - 1)(2^{2m} - 1)$.

When $\sum_{i=0}^{4} b_i = 0$ and $(\omega^2 b_1 + \omega b_2 + \omega b_3 + \omega^2 b_4, \omega^2 b_0 + \omega b_1 + \omega b_2 + \omega^2 b_4) \neq (0,0), (\omega b_1 + \omega^2 b_2 + \omega^2 b_3 + \omega b_4, \omega b_0 + \omega^2 b_1 + \omega^2 b_2 + \omega b_4) = (0,0)$, then $\Theta(b) = -5 \times (2^m - 1)(2^{2m} - 1)$. By Equation (1), we get

$$w_L(ev(b)) = 5 \times (2^m - 1)(2^{2m} - 1)2^{2m-1}.$$

5) If $b = b_0 + b_1 v + b_2 v^2 + b_3 v^3 + b_4 v^4$ is a zero-divisor, where $\sum_{i=0}^{4} b_i \neq 0$ and $(\omega^2 b_1 + \omega b_2 + \omega b_3 + \omega^2 b_4, \omega^2 b_0 + \omega b_1 + \omega b_2 + \omega^2 b_4) = (0,0), (\omega b_1 + \omega^2 b_2 + \omega^2 b_3 + \omega b_4, \omega b_0 + \omega^2 b_1 + \omega^2 b_2 + \omega b_4 = (0,0)$, then $b = \beta(1 + v + v^2 + v^3 + v^4)$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$, and $bh = \beta H(1 + v + v^2 + v^3 + v^4)$. So $\Phi(Tr_m(bh)) = (tr_m(\beta H), tr_m(\beta H), tr_m(\beta H), tr_m(\beta H), tr_m(\beta H))$. Taking character sums, yields

$$\Theta(b) \quad = \quad 5 \sum_{H \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*} \sum_{(H_1,H_2) \neq (0,0), H_1, H_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} \sum_{(H_3,H_4) \neq (0,0), H_3, H_4 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{tr_m(\beta H)} = -5 \times (2^{2m} - 1)^2.$$

By Equation (1), we get

$$w_L(ev(b)) = 5 \times (2^{2m} - 1)^2 2^{m-1}.$$

Note that $\sum_{i=0}^{4} b_i = 0$ and $(\omega^2 b_1 + \omega b_2 + \omega b_3 + \omega^2 b_4, \omega^2 b_0 + \omega b_1 + \omega b_2 + \omega^2 b_4) = (\omega b_1 + \omega^2 b_2 + \omega^2 b_3 + \omega b_4, \omega b_0 + \omega^2 b_1 + \omega^2 b_2 + \omega b_4) = (0,0)$, i.e., $b = 0$, corresponds to the zero codewords, and then its Lee weight is 0. □

From Theorem 4.4, we have constructed a binary linear code of length $s = 5 \times (2^m - 1)(2^{2m} - 1)^2$, of dimension $5m$, with five nonzero weights $w_1 < w_2 < w_3 < w_4 < w_5$ of values

$$w_1 = 5 \times (2^{2m} - 1)(2^{3m-1} - 2^{2m-1} - 2^{m-1}), \quad w_2 = 5 \times (2^m - 1)(2^{2m-1} - 1)2^{2m},$$

$$w_3 = \frac{5 \times [(2^m - 1)(2^{2m} - 1)^2 + 1]}{2}, \quad w_4 = 5 \times (2^m - 1)(2^{2m} - 1)2^{2m-1}, \quad w_5 = 5 \times (2^{2m} - 1)^2 2^{m-1},$$

with respective frequencies

$$f_1 = 2 \times (2^m - 1)(2^{2m} - 1), \ \ f_2 = (2^{2m} - 1)^2, \ \ f_3 = (2^m - 1)(2^{2m} - 1)^2, \ \ f_4 = 2 \times (2^{2m} - 1), \ \ f_5 = 2^m - 1.$$

4.3. The third family of codes $\mathcal{C}(m,2,L_3)$ when m is doubly-even

Writing $\eta_0 = 1+v+v^2+v^3+v^4$, $\eta_1 = 1+\epsilon^4 v+\epsilon^3 v^2+\epsilon^2 v^3+\epsilon v^4$, $\eta_2 = 1+\epsilon^3 v+\epsilon v^2+\epsilon^4 v^3+\epsilon^2 v^4$, $\eta_3 = 1+\epsilon^2 v+\epsilon^4 v^2+\epsilon v^3+\epsilon^3 v^4$ and $\eta_4 = 1+\epsilon v+\epsilon^2 v^2+\epsilon^3 v^3+\epsilon^4 v^4$, where $\epsilon^5 = 1$. According to Chinese Remainder Theorem, we then obtain $R_m = \eta_0\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \oplus \eta_1\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \oplus \eta_2\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \oplus \eta_3\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \oplus \eta_4\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ in the case of m is doubly-even. Hence, we have $R_m^* \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \bigoplus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. It means that $R_m^* = \{\eta_0 r_0 + \eta_1 r_1 + \eta_2 r_2 + \eta_3 r_3 + \eta_4 r_4 : r_j \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, j = 0,1,2,3,4\}$. Now, we investigate the Lee weight of codewords of $\mathcal{C}(m,2,L_3)$ in this case.

Theorem 4.5 Let m be doubly-even, then the set $\mathcal{C}(m,2,L_3)$ is a five-Lee-weight linear code of length $L_3 = (2^m-1)^5$ and its weight distribution is given in Table III.

Table III. weight distribution of $\mathcal{C}(m,2,L_3)$

Weight	Frequency
0	1
$5\times(2^m-1)^3(2^m-2)2^{m-1}$	$10\times(2^m-1)^2$
$5\times(2^m-1)(2^m-2)(2^{2m}-2^{m+1}+2)2^{m-1}$	$5\times(2^m-1)^4$
$\frac{5\times[(2^m-1)^5+1]}{2}$	$(2^m-1)^5$
$5\times(2^m-1)^2(2^{3m-1}-2^{2m-1}+2^{m-1})$	$10\times(2^m-1)^3$
$5\times(2^m-1)^4 2^{m-1}$	$5\times(2^m-1)$

Proof. Set $r = \eta_0 r_0 + \eta_1 r_1 + \eta_2 r_2 + \eta_3 r_3 + \eta_4 r_4 \in R_m^*$, where $r_j \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, j = 0,1,2,3,4$. For $a = \eta_0 a_0 + \eta_1 a_1 + \eta_2 a_2 + \eta_3 a_3 + \eta_4 a_4 \in R_m$, where $a_j \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, j = 0,1,2,3,4$, then $ar = \eta_0 a_0 r_0 + \eta_1 a_1 r_1 + \eta_2 a_2 r_2 + \eta_3 a_3 r_3 + \eta_4 a_4 r_4$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(Tr_m(ar)) &= (tr(a_0r_0+a_1r_1+a_2r_2+a_3r_3+a_4r_4), tr(a_0r_0+\epsilon^4 a_1r_1+\epsilon^3 a_2r_2+\epsilon^2 a_3r_3+\epsilon a_4r_4),\\ &\quad tr(a_0r_0+\epsilon^3 a_1r_1+\epsilon a_2r_2+\epsilon^4 a_3r_3+\epsilon^2 a_4r_4), tr(a_0r_0+\epsilon^2 a_1r_1+\epsilon^4 a_2r_2+\epsilon a_3r_3+\epsilon^3 a_4r_4),\\ &\quad tr(a_0r_0+\epsilon a_1r_1+\epsilon^2 a_2r_2+\epsilon^3 a_3r_3+\epsilon^4 a_4r_4))\\ &=: (A_0', A_1', A_2', A_3', A_4').\end{aligned}$$

Taking character sums, yields

$$\Theta(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} \sum_{r_0,r_1,r_2\in\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*} \sum_{r_3,r_4\in\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*} (-1)^{tr_m(A_i')}.$$

If $a = \eta_0 a_0 + \eta_1 a_1 + \eta_2 a_2 + \eta_3 a_3 + \eta_4 a_4 \in R_m^*$, where $a_j \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, j = 0,1,2,3,4$, then $\Theta(a) = -5$. So $w_L(ev(a)) = \frac{5\times[(2^m-1)^5+1]}{2}$.

Next, we consider $a \in R_m \backslash \{R_m^*\}$. It is easily check that $a = 0$ corresponds to the zero codewords, and then its Lee weight is 0. If $a = \eta_i a_i, i \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}$, then $\Theta(a) = 5\times(2^m-1)$. Further, $w_L(ev(a)) = 5\times(2^m-1)(2^m-2)(2^{2m}-2^{m+1}+2)2^{m-1}$ by Equation (1). If $a = \eta_i a_i + \eta_j a_j, i,j \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}, i \neq j$, then $\Theta(a) = -5\times(2^m-1)^2$. By Equation (1), we have $w_L(ev(a)) = 5\times(2^m-1)^2(2^{3m-1}-2^{2m-1}+2^{m-1})$. If

$a = \eta_i a_i + \eta_j a_j + \eta_k a_k, i, j, k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, where i, j, k are pairwise different, then $\Theta(a) = 5 \times (2^m - 1)^3$. What is more, $w_L(ev(a)) = 5 \times (2^m - 1)^3(2^m - 2)2^{m-1}$. If $a = \eta_0 a_0 + \eta_1 a_1 + \eta_2 a_2 + \eta_3 a_3 + \eta_4 a_4$, where $a_j = 0, a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\} \backslash \{j\}$, then we can obtain $\Theta(a) = -5 \times (2^m - 1)^4$. By Equation (1), we can easily get $w_L(ev(a)) = 5 \times (2^m - 1)^4 2^{m-1}$. □

By Theorem 4.5, we have constructed a binary linear code of length $s = 5 \times (2^m - 1)^5$, of dimension $5m$, with five nonzero weights $w_1 < w_2 < w_3 < w_4 < w_5$ of values

$$w_1 = 5 \times (2^m - 1)^3(2^m - 2)2^{m-1}, \ w_2 = 5 \times (2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)(2^{2m} - 2^{m+1} + 2)2^{m-1}, \ w_3 = \frac{5 \times [(2^m - 1)^5 + 1]}{2}$$

$$w_4 = 5 \times (2^m - 1)^2(2^{3m-1} - 2^{2m-1} + 2^{m-1}), \ w_5 = 5 \times (2^m - 1)^4 2^{m-1},$$

with respective frequencies

$$f_1 = 10 \times (2^m - 1)^2, \quad f_2 = 5 \times (2^m - 1)^4, \quad f_3 = (2^m - 1)^5, \quad f_4 = 10 \times (2^m - 1)^3, \quad f_5 = 5 \times (2^m - 1).$$

Note that $L = L_1 = L_2 = L_3 = |R_m^*|$. Next, we study their optimality.

Theorem 4.6 The three-weight binary linear code $\Phi(\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L_1))$, for $m > 6$ and m is odd, is optimal.

Proof. Recall the Griesmer bound [8]. If $[N, K, d]$ are the parameters of a linear binary code, then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{K-1} \left\lceil \frac{d}{2^j} \right\rceil \leq N.$$

In our situation $N = 5 \times (2^{5m} - 2^{4m} - 2^m + 1), K = 5m, d = 5 \times (2^{5m-1} - 2^{4m-1} - 2^{m-1})$. The ceiling function takes the following values depending on the position of j :

- $0 \leq j \leq m - 1 \Rightarrow \lceil \frac{d+1}{2^j} \rceil = 5 \times (2^{4m} - 2^{3m} - 1)2^{m-1-i} + 1$,
- $j = m \Rightarrow \lceil \frac{d+1}{2^j} \rceil = 5 \times (2^{4m-1} - 2^{3m-1}) - 2$,
- $j = m + 1 \Rightarrow \lceil \frac{d+1}{2^j} \rceil = 5 \times (2^{4m-2} - 2^{3m-2}) - 1$,
- $m + 2 \leq j \leq 4m - 1 \Rightarrow \lceil \frac{d+1}{2^j} \rceil = 5 \times (2^m - 1)2^{4m-1-i}$,
- $j = 4m \Rightarrow \lceil \frac{d+1}{2^j} \rceil = 5 \times 2^{m-1} - 2$,
- $j = 4m + 1 \Rightarrow \lceil \frac{d+1}{2^j} \rceil = 5 \times 2^{m-2} - 1$,
- $4m + 2 \leq j \leq 5m - 1 \Rightarrow \lceil \frac{d+1}{2^j} \rceil = 5 \times 2^{5m-1-i}$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} \left\lceil \frac{d+1}{2^j} \right\rceil &= \sum_{j=0}^{5m-1} 5 \times 2^{5m-1-i} - \sum_{j=0}^{4m-1} 5 \times 2^{4m-1-i} - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} 5 \times 2^{m-1-i} + m - 6 \\ &= 5 \times (2^{5m} - 1) - 5 \times (2^{4m} - 1) - 5 \times (2^m - 1) + m - 6 \\ &= 5 \times 2^{5m} - 5 \times 2^{4m} - 5 \times 2^m + m - 1. \end{aligned}$$

By simply calculation, we have $5 \times 2^{5m} - 5 \times 2^{4m} - 5 \times 2^m + m - 1 - N > 0$ when $m > 6$. This proof is completed. □

Example 4.7 Let $m = 2$. By Theorem 4.4, we obtain a five-weight binary linear code of length 1215, of dimension 10, with five nonzero weights 1650, 1680, 1690, 1800, 2250, and frequencies 90, 225, 675, 30 and 3, respectively.

Example 4.8 Taking $m = 3$. Then we get a three-weight binary linear code of dimension 15, with three nonzero weights 71660, 71680, 81900, and frequencies 28665, 4095 and 7, respectively.

5. The dual code

We compute the dual distance of $\mathcal{C}(m, 2, |R_m^*|)$. A property of the trace that we need is that it is nondegenerate. The proof of the following lemma is similar to that in [12], so we omit it here.

Lemma 5.1 If for all $a \in R_m$, we have that $Tr_m(ax) = 0$, then $x = 0$.

Combining the sphere-packing bound and Lemma 5.1, we can get the following results.

Theorem 5.2 The dual Lee distance d' of $\mathcal{C}(m, 2, |R_m^*|)$ is 2.

Proof. First, we show that $d' < 3$. If not, we can apply the sphere-packing bound to $\Phi(\mathcal{C}(m, 2, |R_m^*|)^\perp)$, to obtain

$$2^{5m} \geq 1 + s.$$

- m is odd, for $\Phi(\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L_1)^\perp)$, then

  $$2^{5m} \geq 1 + s = 1 + 5 \times (2^m - 1)(2^{4m} - 1),$$

  or, after expansion

  $$5 \times (2^{4m} + 2^m) \geq 6 + 2^{5m+2}.$$

  Dropping the 6 in the RHS, and dividing both sides by 2^m, we find that this inequality would imply $5 \times (2^{3m} + 1) > 2^{5m+2}$, i.e., $5 > 2^{3m}(2^{2m+2} - 5)$. Contradiction with $m \geq 1$, which implies $d' < 3$.

- m is singly-even, for $\Phi(\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L_2)^\perp)$, then

  $$2^{5m} \geq 1 + s = 1 + 5 \times (2^m - 1)(2^{2m} - 1)^2 = 1 + 5 \times (2^{5m} - 2^{4m} - 2^{3m+1} + 2^{2m+1} + 2^m - 1). \quad (2)$$

  When $m = 2$, then $2^{5m} < 1 + 5 \times (2^{5m} - 2^{4m} - 2^{3m+1} + 2^{2m+1} + 2^m - 1)$. Now, we consider $m \geq 6$. From Equation (2), we have

  $$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq 2^{5m+2} - 5 \times (2^{4m} + 2^{3m+1}) + 5 \times (2^{2m+1} + 2^m) - 4 \\ &\geq 2^{3m+1}(2^{2m+1} - 5 \times 2^{m-1} - 5). \end{aligned}$$

  Contradiction with $2^{2m+1} - 5 \times 2^{m-1} - 5 = 2^{m-1}(2^{m+2} - 5) - 5 > 0$ when $m \geq 6$. So $d' < 3$.

- m is doubly-even, for $\Phi(\mathcal{C}(m,2,L_3)^{\perp})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{5m} &\geq 1+5\times(2^m-1)^5 \\ &= 5\times(2^{5m}-2^{4m+2}-2^{4m}+2^{3m+3}+2^{3m+1}-2^{2m+3}-2^{2m+1}+2^{m+2}+2^m)-4 \\ &> 5\times(2^{5m}-2^{4m+2}-2^{4m}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $5\times(2^{5m}-2^{4m+2}-2^{4m})-2^{5m}=2^{4m}(2^{m+2}-25)>0$ for $m\geq 4$. It is contradiction, which implies $d'<3$.

Next, we check that $d'=2$, by showing that $\mathcal{C}(m,2,|R_m^*|)^{\perp}$, does not contain a word of Lee weight one. If it does, let us assume first that it has value γv^j at some $x\in R_m^*$, where $\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, j\in\{0,1,2,3,4\}$. This implies that $\forall a\in R_m, \gamma v^j Tr_m(ax)=0$, then we must have $Tr_m(\gamma ax)=0$ since $Tr_m()$ is a linear map, and by using Lemma 5.1, we know $x=0$. Contradiction with $x\in R_m^*$. So $d'=2$. □

6. Application to secret sharing schemes

Secret sharing is an important topic of cryptography, which has been studied for over thirty years. In this section, we will study the secret sharing schemes based on linear codes studied in this paper.

Originally secret sharing was motivated by the problem of sharing a secret digital key. In order to keep the secret efficiently and safely, Shamir and Blakley introduced secret sharing schemes (SSS) in 1979. An SSS based on error-correcting codes was introduced by Massey, and the minimal coalitions in such a scheme were characterized as a function of the minimal vectors, to be defined next, of the dual code. A *minimal codeword* of a linear code C is a nonzero codeword that does not cover any other nonzero codeword. Recall that a vector x *covers* a vector y if $s(x)$ contains $s(y)$, where $s(y)$, the support $s(y)$ of a vector $y\in\mathbb{F}_p^s$, is defined as the set of indices where it is nonzero. Although the minimal codewords of a given linear code is hard to determine in general, there is a numerical condition derived in [1], bearing on the weights of the code, that is easy to check, once the weight distribution is known. By recalling the following result of Ashikhmin and Barg (see [1]), it is shown that all nonzero codewords of linear code are minimal.

Lemma 6.1 (Ashikhmin-Barg) Given a p-ary code C, denote by w_0 and w_∞ the minimum and maximum nonzero weights of C, respectively. If

$$\frac{w_0}{w_\infty}>\frac{p-1}{p},$$

then every nonzero codeword of C is minimal.

Theorem 6.2 Let m be odd, then all the nonzero codewords of $\Phi(\mathcal{C}(m,2,L_1))$, for $m>1$, are minimal.

Proof. By the preceding Lemma 6.1 with $w_0=5\times(2^{5m-1}-2^{4m-1}-2^{m-1})$, and $w_\infty=5\times(2^{5m-1}-2^{m-1})$. Rewriting the inequality of Lemma 6.1 as $2\omega_1>\omega_2$, and dividing both sides by $5\times 2^{m-1}$, we obtain

$$2^{4m}>2^{3m+1}+1.$$

The condition follows from the fact that $2^{m-1}>1$ when $m>1$. Hence the result follows. □

Theorem 6.3 Let m be singly-even, then all the nonzero codewords of $\Phi(\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L_2))$, for $m > 1$, are minimal.

Proof. We use Lemma 6.1 with $w_0 = 5 \times (2^{2m} - 1)(2^{3m-1} - 2^{2m-1} - 2^{m-1})$ and $w_\infty = 5 \times (2^{2m} - 1)^2 2^{m-1}$. Rewriting the inequality of Lemma 6.1 as $2w_0 > w_\infty$, and dividing both sides by $5 \times (2^m - 1)2^{m-1}$, we obtain

$$2 \times (2^{2m} - 1) > 2^{2m} - 2^m - 1,$$

namely, $2^{2m} + 2^m > 1$. Note that $2^{2m} + 2^m > 1$ for a positive integer m. The result follows. □

Theorem 6.4 Let m be doubly-even, then all the nonzero codewords of $\Phi(\mathcal{C}(m, 2, L_3))$, for $m > 1$, are minimal.

Proof. We use Lemma 6.1 with $w_0 = 5 \times (2^m - 1)^3 (2^m - 2)2^{m-1}$, and $w_\infty = 5 \times (2^m - 1)^4 2^{m-1}$. Rewriting the inequality of Lemma 6.1 as $2w_0 > w_\infty$, and dividing both sides by $5 \times (2^m - 1)^3 2^{m-1}$, we obtain

$$2 \times (2^m - 2) > 2^m - 1,$$

namely, $2^m > 3$. Noting that $2^m > 3$ when $m > 1$. So the result follows. □

The Massey's scheme is a construction of an SSS using a code C of length s over $\mathbb{F}_p$. In essence, the secret is carried by the first coordinate of a codeword, and the coalitions correspond to supports of codewords in the dual code with a one in that coordinate. It is worth mentioning that in some special cases, that is, when all nonzero codewords are minimal, it was shown in [6] that there is the following alternative, depending on d':

- If $d' \geq 3$, then the SSS is *"democratic"*: every user belongs to the same number of coalitions,
- If $d' = 2$, then the SSS is *"dictatorial"*: some users belong to every coalition.

Depending on the application, one or the other situation might be more suitable. By Theorems 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 5.2, we see that for some values of the parameters, a SSS built on $\Phi(\mathcal{C}(m, p, |R_m^*|))$ is dictatorial.

7. Conclusion

Trace codes over fields are a well-known source of constructions for few weights codes. In the present work, we have extended the notion of trace codes from fields to rings. On the basis of the linear Gray map we defined, we constructed a family of three-weight binary linear codes, which are optimal by using the Griesmer bound, and two families of five-weight binary linear codes. These codes are abelian, and quasi-cyclic, but not visibly cyclic. Finally, an application to secret sharing schemes is given. It is worth exploring more general constructions by varying the alphabet of the code, or the defining set of the trace code. Compared with linear codes in [4, 5, 7, 9, 17], the codes in this paper have different weight distribution.

References

References

[1] A. Ashikhmin, A. Barg, Minimal vectors in linear codes, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, **44** (1998) 2010–2017.

[2] A. Bracco, A. Natividad, P. Solé, On quintic quasi-cyclic codes, Discrete Applied Mathematics, **156** (2008) 3362–3375.

[3] C.S. Ding, A construction of binary linear codes from Boolean functions, Discrete Math., **339** (2016) 2288–2303.

[4] K. Ding, C.S. Ding, Binary Linear Codes With Three Weights, IEEE Communications Letters, **18** (2014) 1879–1882.

[5] C.S. Ding, C.L. Li, N. Li, Z.C. Zhou, Three-weight cyclic codes and their weight distributions, Discrete Math., **339** (2016) 415–427.

[6] C.S. Ding, J. Yuan, Covering and secret sharing with linear codes, Springer LNCS 2731, (2003) 11–25.

[7] C.S. Ding, J. Yang, Hamming weights in irreducible cyclic codes, Discrete Math., **313** (2013) 434–446.

[8] J.H. Griesmer, A bound for error-correcting codes, IBM Journal of Res. and Dev., **4** (1960) 532–542.

[9] Z.L. Heng, Q. Yue, A class of binary linear codes with at most three weights, IEEE Communications Letters, **19** (2015) 1488–1491.

[10] F.J. MacWilliams, N.J. A. Sloane, *The theory of error-correcting codes*, North-Holland, 1977.

[11] M.J. Shi, Y. Liu, P. Solé, Optimal two weight codes from trace codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$, IEEE Communications Letters, http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7582413/.

[12] M.J. Shi, Y. Liu, P. Solé, Optimal two weight codes from trace codes over a non-chain ring, Discrete Applied Mathematics, (Under revision).

[13] M.J. Shi, Y. Liu, P. Solé, Trace codes with Few Weights over $\mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p$, submitted to Finite Field and Their Application.

[14] M.J. Shi, R.S. Wu, Y. Liu, P. Solé, Two and three weight codes over $\mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p$, Cryptography and Communications-Discrete Structures, Boolean Functions and Sequences, DOI 10.1007/s12095-016-0206-5.

[15] Z.C. Zhou, C.S. Ding, A class of three-weight cyclic codes, IEEE Transactions on Communications, **25** (2013) 79–93.

[16] Z.C. Zhou, C.S. Ding, Seven Classes of Three-Weight Cyclic Codes, IEEE Transactions on Communications, **25** (2013) 4120– 4126.

[17] Z.C. Zhou, C.S. Ding, J.Q. Luo, A Family of Five-Weight Cyclic Codes and Their Weight Enumerators, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, **59** (2013) 6674– 6682.